# A physiological effective connectome of the human brain, based on intracranial electrical stimulation

Cristian Donos<sup>1</sup>, Mihai Maliia<sup>2</sup>, Ioana Mindruta<sup>2,3</sup>, Jean Ciurea<sup>4</sup>, Laura Craciun<sup>2</sup>, Andrei Barborica<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physics Department, University of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania, <sup>2</sup>Neurology Department, University Emergency Hospital, Bucharest, Romania,

y Department, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania, <sup>4</sup>Neurosurgery Department, Bagdasar-Arseni Hospital, Bucharest, Romania, <sup>5</sup>FHC Inc, Bowdoin ME, USA

#### Introduction

Several MRI and DTI methods already delivered a whole brain structural connectome [1], however none of them are able to directly probe the causal functional (*effective*) brain connectivity using native electrical signaling. The study of cortico-cortical evoked potentials using high-density stereoelectroencephalographic (SEEC) recordings represents perhaps the most direct way of exploring brain connectivity. However, SEEG investigations are limited to the patients with drug-resistant epilepsy, which may present disrupted connectivity patterns [2,3]. In order to dissociate pathological from physiological connectivity, we propose a method that combines individual patient's connectivity with saliency maps and epileptogenicity of the cortical areas calculated retrospectively on a larger patient dataset. Methods

24 patients with refractory epilepsy (Table 1) were implanted with depth electrodes for presurgical evaluation. Single pulse electrical stimulation, using biphasic pulses with 3ms pulse duration and current intensity in the 0.25-5mA range was applied to each pair of adjacent contacts and responses evoked by stimulation were recorded from other contacts located in remote brain areas. We calculated the RMS value over the 10-110 ms interval after each stimulation current (Spearman's r-0.5, p-0.05) and the mean RMS value across all stimulation pulses in a trial is higher than the 3rd quartile value (O3) of all the responses recorded within a patient [4]. Responses from the activated contacts were weighted by the epilepogencity of each area and averaged for each patient. Further weighting was performed by calculating the saliency of each non-pathological connection in the patient database. We use the terms "inbound" and "outbound" to illustrate the connections ending on and starting from each brain furure transmitter to the saliency of each area and surged provide the terms "inbound" and "outbound" to illustrate the connections ending on and starting from each brain tructure respective.

Patient	Sex	An	Eelkesy	Lateralization	Localization	Pathology	MRILesion	Number of	Number of	RMS Q3	Surgical
1	F	17	Emotal	Left	Promotor demolatoral	Type II BECD	Nonativo	electrodes 11	104	95.8	Ennel IIIB
2	м	39	Occipital	Left	Occipito-temporal basal	Polimycrogyria	MCD	16	194	61.3	Engel IA
3	м	47	Temporal	Left	Middle temporal gyrus	DNET	DNET	11	101	87.7	Engel II
4	F	40	Prefrontal	Left	Prefrontal	Type II BFCD	Type II BFCD	11	141	89.6	Engel IA
5	F	35	Mesio-temporal	Right	Amygdala	Temporal scierosis	Negative	12	160	56.4	Engel IIB
6	F	24	Fronto-central	Right	Rolandic	Type II A FCD	Type II FCD	15	138	63.9	Engel IB
7	м	24	Occipital	Right	Occipito-temporal basal	Type I FCD	MCD	14	157	25.3	Engel IIIB
8	F	25	Temporal	Right	Amygdala	Type I FCD	Type II FCD	10	111	62.5	Engel IIB
•	F	46	Temporal	Right	Temporal pole	Type II BFCD	Type II FCD	9	102	106.5	Engel IIIB
10	м	33	Frontal	Left	Mesial prefrontal	Type I B FCD	Type I FCD	17	174	48.4	Engel IA
11	F	11	Frontal	Right	Mesial and lateral premotor	Type II A FCD	Misleading-type II B - like FCD in temporal operculum		183	77.7	Engel IA
12	F	9	Frontal	Right	Lateral prefrontal	Type II A FCD	Type II FCD	13	180	54.8	Engel IC
13	F	35	Frontal	Right	Middle cingulate	Not available (thermocoagulation)	Negative	14	169	48.2	Engel IA
14	м	28	Temporal	Right	Temporal	Type I FCD	Type I FCD	17	188	52.1	Engel IA
15	F	25	Bitemporal	Bilateral	Bitemporal	Type I FCD	Negative	17	219	51.8	Engel IB
16	F	36	Opercular	Right	Parietal-temporal, posterior operculum	Type II BFCD	Type II FCD	15	205	91.4	Engel IA
17	F	42	Temporal plus	Right	Temporal pole and temporo-mesial	Type I FCD	Hippocampal atrophy	14	205	72.1	Engel IA
18	F	37	Temporal	Left	Temporal pole and temporo-mesial	Type IIA FCD	Negative	13	160	43.6	Engel IA
19	M	26	Occipital	Bilatoral	Bioccipital	Not operated on	Negative	14	211	73.3	
20	м	53	Frontal	Left	Frontal pole	Cavamorna	Multiple cavernomas	11	166	30.2	Engel IA
21	м	39	Bitemporal	Bilateral	Bitemporal	Not operated on	Negative	11	167	44.9	
22	F	42	Temporal	Left	Temporal	Not available	Hippocampal atrophy and left superior temporal gyrus malformation	11	147	58.8	Engel IA
23	м	42	Mesio-Temporal	Left	Occipito-temporo basal	Type II A FCD	Left hippocampal scierosis and superior temporal gyrus dysplasia	14	194	65.2	Engel IB
24	M	29	Emotal	Right	Premotor	Type II BFCD	Type II BFCD	9	112	72	Engel IA

### Table 1. Patients participating in our study.

The directionality of the connections between a pair of structures (A, B) is evidenced by the asymmetry in responses  $R_{A \to B}$ ,  $R_{B \to A}$  to sequential stimulation of each structure. A directionality factor  $DF_{A+B}$  has been defined as:

$$DF_{A \leftrightarrow B} = \left| \frac{R_{A \rightarrow B} - R_{B \rightarrow A}}{R_{A \rightarrow B} + R_{B \rightarrow A}} \right|$$



Table 2. List of all structures implanted in our patient lot.

## Results

Over the 24 patient set, we have inserted a total of 13  $\pm$  2.5 depth electrodes, probed 609 sites using electrical stimulation and recorded 36980 responses in 1481 locations. A number of 9448 (25.5%) recorded responses met our amplitude and correlation with stimulus criteria and were used for calculating the physiological effective connectome (Figure 1).

The physiological effective connectome contains 70 brain structures from both hemispheres and has a mean directionality factor (DF)  $\pm$  SD of 0.63  $\pm$  0.40.



Figure 1. The physiological effective connectome. a) 2D representation as an adjacency matrix, in which the normalized responses between two structures are color-coded. b),(d) Avial, coronal, and sagital views of the 3D frustums representation. For each connection, the large base of the frustum, whose radius is directly proportional with the normalized RMS response, indicate the stimulation structure, while the small base indicate the structure in which the response was recorded.

The effective connectivity of 8 brain structures relevant to temporal lobe epilepsy is shown in Figure 2.

P 0501



Figure 2. The physiological effective connectivity of temporal lobe structures in 3D frustums representation. a) amygdala, b) hippocampus, c) temporal pole, d) inferior temporal gyrus, e) middle temporal gyrus, f) parahippocampalgyrus, g) lingualgyrus, h) fusiform gyrus.

### Conclusions

Using direct electrical stimulation, we obtained a physiological effective connectome covering a 70 brain structures from both hemispheres.

There was a significant directionality in the functional connections between structures.

This data can be used as reference tool for planning the SEEG implantations and for differential analysis of altered versus normal brain connectivity in epileptic patients.

### Acknowledgments

Supported by Romanian government UEFISCDI research grant PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0240

#### References

- 1. Yeh FC, Tseng WY. NTU-90: a high angular resolution brain atlas constructed by q-space diffeomorphic reconstruction. Neuroimage. 2011 Sep 1; 58(1):91-9.
- Lee HW, Arora J, Papademetris X, Tokoglu F, Negishi M, Scheinost D, Farooque P, Blumenfeld H, Spencer DD, Constable RT. Altered functional connectivity in seizure onset zones revealed by fMRI intrinsic connectivity. Neurology. 2014 Dec 9: 83(24):2269-77.
- Liao W, Zhang Z, Pan Z, Mantini D, Ding J, Duan X, Luo C, Lu G, Chen H. Altered functional connectivity and smallworld in mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. PLoS One. 2010 Jan 8;5(1):e8525.
- Donos C, Mindrută İ, Ciurea J, Măliia MD, Barborica A. A comparative study of the effects of pulse parameters for intracranial direct electrical stimulation in epilepsy. Clin Neurophysiol. 2015 Mar 2. pii: S1388-2457(15)00118-2.